

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

$$\left. \begin{array}{l}) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \\) \end{array} \right\}$$

Case No. 2:17-cv-02444-JAD-CWH

REPORT & RECOMMENDATION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

7
8
9
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

24
25
26
27
28

1 dismiss them “if it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his
2 claim which would entitle him to relief.” *Nordstrom v. Ryan*, 762 F.3d 903, 908 (9th Cir. 2014)
3 (quoting *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678).

4 Here, Ms. Cram filed a complaint, which does not contain any factual allegations, and two
5 supplements to the complaint. (Compl. (ECF No. 1-1); Supp. to Compl. (ECF No. 12); Supp. to
6 Compl. (ECF No. 14).). In the first supplement, Ms. Cram requests a “turnkey house on the Hopi
7 home” with a maid and a gardener. (ECF No. 12 at 1.) In the second supplement, Ms. Cram
8 alleges that while sleepwalking, she saw a property where more than 1,000 babies were murdered
9 or gravely injured at the hands of 3,000 people, “3 to a baby.” (ECF No. 14 at 1.) She further
10 alleges that she and a girlfriend were followed to be killed, that someone tried to rape her girlfriend
11 many times, and that she was raped 1,500 times. (*Id.* at 2.) Ms. Cram makes various other
12 allegations regarding being disemboweled, needing money and a home, events dating back to the
13 early twentieth century, and “engulfment,” though it is unclear to the court what Ms. Cram is
14 referencing when she uses this term. (*Id.* at 2-17.)

15 Even liberally construing Ms. Cram’s complaint and supplements, the court finds that her
16 factual allegations describe fantastic and delusional scenarios and do not state a claim upon which
17 relief can be granted. Given that Ms. Cram’s complaint does not set forth a plausible claim, it is
18 recommended that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice because amendment would be futile.
19 *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126 (9th Cir. 2000) (stating that a district court is not required
20 to provide leave to amend a complaint if the complaint could not possibly be cured by the
21 allegation of other facts).

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff Barbara Ruth Cram’s application to proceed
23 *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 9) is GRANTED.


24 IT IS RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff Barbara Ruth Cram’s Complaint (ECF No. 1-1) and
25 its supplements (ECF Nos. 12, 14) be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as delusional and
26 frivolous.

27 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that all pending motions (ECF Nos. 2-4) in the case
28 be DENIED as moot.

1 **NOTICE**

2 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States district judge assigned to
3 this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation may
4 file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served
5 with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may
6 waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th
7 Cir. 1991).

8
9 DATED: November 16, 2017

10
11 
12 **C.W. Hoffman, Jr.**
13 **United States Magistrate Judge**
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28